

SOUTH FLORIDA REGIONAL PLANNING COUNCIL

Minutes

May 2, 2011

The South Florida Regional Planning Council met this date at the Murray E. Nelson Government & Cultural Center (Center), 102050 Overseas Highway, Key Largo, FL, 33037. Vice-Chair Heather Carruthers called the meeting to order at 10:37 a.m. and lead the Pledge of Allegiance. Vice-Chair Carruthers welcomed everyone to Monroe County and introduced the following attendees: Roman Gastesi, County Administrator; Christine Hurley, Growth Management Director; Mitch Harvey, Planner; Kevin Wilson, Project Director, who is responsible for the operation of the Center.

I. Pledge of Allegiance and Roll Call

Councilmember Patricia Asseff
Councilmember Margaret M. Bates (absent)
Councilmember Michael Blynn
Councilmember Scott Brook (absent)
Councilmember Heather Carruthers
Chair Suzanne Gunzburger (absent)
Councilmember Ilene Lieberman (absent)
Councilmember Manuel Marono (absent)
Councilmember Jean Monestine (absent)
Councilmember George Neugent
Councilmember Jose Riesco
Councilmember Stacy Ritter (absent)
Councilmember Joseph Scutto
Councilmember Rebeca Sosa
Councilmember Paul Wallace
Councilmember Sandra Walters
Councilmember Jimmy Weekley

The following Ex-Officio members were present:

Ms. Carol Morris, on behalf of Elbert Waters, representing the South Florida Water Management District
Mr. Norman Taylor, representing the Broward County Office of Economic Development

The following Ex-Officio members were not present:

Mr. Kevin Claridge, representing the Department of Environmental Protection
Mr. Gary L. Donn, representing the Florida Department of Transportation

Vice-Chair Carruthers stated that Chair Gunzburger could not be present due to a personal illness in her family. Legal Counsel Sam Goren stated that a quorum has been established.

II. Approval of Council Agenda

Councilmember Neugent moved to approve the Agenda. Councilmember Asseff seconded the motion, which carried by a unanimous vote.

III. Action Items

A. Minutes of Previous Meeting (April)

Councilmember Walters moved to approve the Minutes from the April meeting. Councilmember Asseff seconded the motion, which carried by a unanimous vote.

B. Financial Report

Councilmember Walters moved to approve the Financial Report. Councilmember Scuotto seconded the motion, which carried by a unanimous vote.

C. Intergovernmental Coordination and Review Report

Councilmember Walters moved to approve the Intergovernmental Coordination and Review Report. Councilmember Scuotto seconded the motion, which carried by a unanimous vote.

D. Developments of Regional Impact/Development Orders - None

E. Proposed Public Education Facilities Element (PEFE)/Capital Improvements Element (CIE) Amendment Reviews - None

Vice-Chair Carruthers stated since there are no Developments of Regional Impact/Development Orders or Proposed Public Education Facilities Element (PEFE)/Capital Improvements Element (CIE) Amendment Reviews there would be no vote on Items III.D and III.E.

F. Proposed Local Government Comprehensive Plans and Amendment Reviews

1. Broward County
2. City of Deerfield Beach
3. City of Homestead
4. Miami-Dade County
5. City of Sunrise

Councilmember Sosa moved to approve staff recommendation for the Proposed Local Government Comprehensive Plan Amendment Reviews. Councilmember Walters seconded the motion, which carried by a unanimous vote.

G. Adopted Public Education Facilities Element (PEFE)/Capital Improvements Element (CIE) Amendment Reviews

- City of Hialeah
- Town of Cutler Bay
- City of Pembroke Pines

Councilmember Scuotto moved to approve the Adopted Public Education Facilities Element (PEFE)/Capital Improvements Element (CIE) Amendment Reviews. Councilmember Neugent seconded the motion, which carried by a unanimous vote.

H. Adopted Local Government Comprehensive Plans and Amendment Reviews

1. Town of Lauderdale-by-the-Sea
2. City of Miami Beach

Councilmember Scuotto moved to approve the Adopted Local Government Comprehensive Amendment Reviews. Councilmember Neugent seconded the motion, which carried by a unanimous vote.

I. Goal Assessment of the *Strategic Regional Policy Plan for South Florida*

Rachel Kalin, of Council staff, explained that as part of the Evaluation and Appraisal Report on the *Strategic Regional Policy Plan for South Florida (SRPP)*, Council staff assessed the 22 Goals for the Region, known as the “Strategic Regional Subject Areas”, which are the Region’s priority issues. The staff report includes a table that identified each Goal, its Regional Indicator and Target. Council staff compared the 2004 Indicator’s Baseline Data to the best available data to date. Ms. Kalin summarized that out of 22 Goals only three (3) of the Targets have been met: Goal #5, Schools; #15, Everglades; and #17, Economic Expansion & Diversification. She stated that 10 of the Targets have not been met; staff was still working on five (5) Targets, waiting to receive data from Regional Partners. It was determined that four (4) of the Targets could not be measured by their Baseline Indicator and these Targets should be reevaluated to identify if they are still priority issues and how could they be properly measured. Ms. Kalin stated staff would complete the Goal Assessment and survey our regional partners to identify the frequency and purpose of their use of the *SRPP*. The Evaluation Report will be presented to the Council, in June, for approval for forwarding to the Department of Community Affairs (DCA) and then to the Office of the Governor. The Report findings will be provided to the Southeast Florida Regional Partnership for inclusion in the Sustainable Communities Initiative and the Florida Chamber of Commerce’s Six Pillars Program.

Councilmember Walters questioned Goal 18, Emergency Planning, and the population numbers quoted in the 2010 Status column, and what those numbers should be. Richard Ogburn stated that the number should be in the hundreds, according to the survey taken by those residents as part of the Statewide Regional Evacuation Study. He stated that there was a mistake in the transcription of the numbers and explained that there were 400 phone surveys done in each of the three counties. Vice-Chair Carruthers questioned if the numbers came only from the survey because most of the people who would use a shelter may not be part of the survey, since they may not have phones or addresses. Mr. Ogburn stated that there was no adjustment made for those who do not have phones. He recognized that one of the shortcomings of a phone survey is due to differences between the responses from those who do and do not have phones.

Councilmember Wallace wanted to know the basic purpose of the Goals; where they originated; and how they were reviewed to change or enhance them? Interim Executive Director B. Jack Osterholt explained that the process has existed through the statutes for the last 10 years; first called the Comprehensive Regional Policy Plan and then the Legislature made it more specific and called it the Strategic Regional Policy Plan. Mr. Osterholt explained the items came from staff to Board, the Board would adopt the plan and then it would become the official adopted Regional Plan. He stated that the South Florida Regional Planning Council Staff would like to spend time with Council to discuss strategic initiative issues by subject matter as the basis for policies. A proposal would be drawn up with a set of draft policies, the Council would put the policies in an order; the proposals would be reviewed and brought back to the Council for approval.

Councilmember Wallace stated that Goals 20 & 21 captured the attention last year in talking about finding new functions for the Council regarding regional leadership and what it should mean to the community. The Council talked about assembling a group of leaders from each county, involving their constituency, and having a regional summit of what the opportunities are for regional cooperation in transportation, education, environment, etc. Councilmember Wallace stated that the three counties

South Florida Regional Planning Council

Minutes – May 2, 2011

Page 4

needed to work together, despite the politics, and have a transportation system that connects the three counties and have water resources that would be interchangeable. He suggested the Council consider Goals 20 and 21 as our new responsibility for Regional Leadership. Councilmember Walters believed the Council should have Regional Visions that would be met and created to make a path of least resistance for people who are moving ahead from the private sector to make projects happen.

Councilmember Asseff stated that there were a lot of Regional Projects being worked on; Broward, Palm Beach and Miami-Dade Counties are working with the MPOs on transportation, such as, SR 7/441 Corridor that runs through several counties. As far as airports, Ms. Asseff stated that Broward County was expanding its airport, and does not understand how three airports could be brought together. She also stated that the environmentalists all work the tri-county area unless the Council is talking about expanding beyond our Region. She expressed that on a county level, there was work being done regionally and that the Council should not reinvent the wheel by doing the same things.

Councilmember Sosa agreed with Councilmember Asseff. She stated we should not worry about Tallahassee and the fiscal problems and address communications so transportation, water and energy sources could be improved on a regional basis. She stated that the airports and ports needed to find a way to stop the competition and should work more on how to complement each other and work together; this should be the vision the Council should have for the future. Councilmember Sosa also stated that this Council was not just from Broward County that only discussed Broward County problems; if the Council would be serious about regionalism, the Council needed to set the example by meeting in all three counties.

Ex-Officio member, Norman Taylor stated there were more conversations between the executives at airports and seaports than what was known. He suggested the Council invite them to discuss how they manage airport and seaport growth issues between them. He stated that there is competition within the markets globally and those companies decide where the best place is to ship to or from; this is natural competition and should be embraced. He stated that this competition could be detrimental to our Region and should be part of our regional plan. Mr. Taylor's office touches 22 global markets and realizes that our world recognizes a region and the centers of that region.

Mr. Osterholt stated that there is an item in the Program Reports, 5.E: Southeast Florida Regional Vision and Blueprint for Economic Prosperity, in which there will be a process that would involve the Council and other parties. He stated that the SFRPC should be renamed/rebranded the South Florida Regional Council to let the Region know the Council would not be here just for local planning and it was important to change our image. Vice-Chair Carruthers noticed how little progress was made with the 22 Goals: four could not be measured against, five that we do not know where to get the data, and only three Goals that have made some progress because of natural outgrowth of demography. She stated that visioning should be vital to our future, but equally vital would be to follow through and figure out better ways to get cooperation from all of the entities that could make this happen.

Councilmember Walters made the motion to approve the draft of the Goal Assessment of the *Strategic Regional Policy Plan for South Florida* with corrections as indicated and update Goals 20 & 21. Councilmember Sosa seconded the motion, which carried by a unanimous vote.

J. Turkey Point – Report on Transmission Lines

Karen Hamilton, of Council staff, stated that the Agency Report of the Florida Power Light (FPL) Turkey Point Transmission Lines represented two years of staff review and analysis. The application to expand the nuclear facility that was received in June of 2009 was reviewed, and since then there were public

South Florida Regional Planning Council

Minutes – May 2, 2011

Page 5

meetings, determination of completeness, statement of issues, and in June 2010, the draft report was brought to the Council. She stated that staff had listened to Council's comments, spoken with stakeholders and all partners as well as FPL. Ms. Hamilton asked that the Council approve this Report for transmission to Florida Department of Environmental Protection and also allow some non-substantive changes to make certain concepts clearer.

Councilmember Sosa stated one of the biggest concerns was the necessity of looking for alternatives that have the least amount of damage on the environment in the future and to provide energy that is necessary. She said the other major concern was the transmission lines: the impact of locations within the residential areas and the civility between the companies and the residents. Councilmember Sosa emphasized the necessity of expanding nuclear energy production for the environment and replacing transmission lines underground in the communities, even though it can be costly.

Councilmember Scuotto stated that in Broward County, the Resource and Recovery Board, which handles the garbage contracts, was in negotiations with Wheelabrater Waste Management for use of the incinerator, when garbage is burned. He stated that Broward County receives a reduced rate from FPL, and that Broward County was trying to have FPL give the discounted rate to do something by 2020 – 2030 for the cities in Broward County who could start phasing out the electrical lines above ground to underground. FPL wants to charge \$15 - \$20 thousand per home to do this now. He explained that in the newer neighborhoods the lines were already underground and Broward County wants to discuss with the waste energy plant a discounted rate for electric and give them 20-30 years to bury the lines in older neighborhoods. Councilmember Wallace asked if someone was present from FPL who could report on any progress made on the issue of putting the lines along Metrorail.

Daniel Hronec, from FPL, stated that FPL submitted a report to Miami-Dade Transit for studying the compatibility of attaching transmission lines in conduits along the guide-way structure of Metrorail. He stated that there has been a substantial list of lengthy comments back from Miami-Dade Transit. He stated that there are design issues that need to be vetted out; the most significant one, which FPL has not been able to finalize at this stage, was dealing with the electromagnetic interference of having these two facilities in very close proximity. Mr. Hronec stated the cost for attaching to the Metrorail structure was very comparable as to placing an underground conduit, except for the fact that the electromagnetic interference mitigation element that has not been addressed. He explained that FPL has received many concerns from Miami-Dade Transit, including technical as well as physical attachment to the superstructure, but the electromagnetic interference mitigation is the most significant.

Councilmember Asseff stated that the City of Hollywood was constructing a lot of underground utilities. She explained that the City has completed six out of 12 streets and it was very costly. She suggested that when discussing underground transmission lines, all the utilities, AT &T, cable and possibly natural gas, should go underground at the same time to save on cost.

Councilmember Sosa moved to approve the Turkey Point Report on Transmission Lines with emphasis on underground lines and their locations. Councilmember Neugent seconded the motion, which carried by a unanimous vote.

K. Executive Director Employment Contract

Legal Counsel Sam Goren stated that at the last meeting Chair Gunzburger and he were directed to negotiate the contract for B. Jack Osterholt for Executive Directorship employment with the Council. He stated that Chair Gunzburger was out of town for personal reasons and could not discuss the contract or be present today and she wanted to defer the contract item to the June Council Meeting. He noted that

South Florida Regional Planning Council

Minutes – May 2, 2011

Page 6

there are a couple of issues that are being worked through, and when Mayor Gunzburger comes back in town discussions would then continue. Mr. Goren suggested this matter be moved forward to have a motion to table the item and be brought back to June's meeting whether it is proposed or not.

Councilmember Sosa moved to approve the Executive Director Employment Contract to be brought to the June Council meeting. Councilmember Scuotto seconded the motion, which carried by a unanimous vote.

IV. Discussion Items

A. Executive Committee Report

Vice-Chair Carruthers stated a Florida Regional Councils Association (FRCA) representative was needed from Miami-Dade County.

B. Interim Executive Director's Report

1. Legislative Update

Bob Cambric, of Council staff, stated that House Bill 7129, the primary vehicle for growth management change, was adopted by the House; the primary vehicle for the Senate was Senate Bill 1122, and the Senate was still making changes. He stated that the Senate agreed to take HB 7129 as their version as part of the Budget negotiations and through the budget process the Bills would be reconciled. He explained that the core issues of the two Bills were that growth management would not be taken away in Florida and that the cities and counties would be given the opportunity to change how to address growth management; the cities and counties continue as before except for how comprehensive plans should be submitted. He explained that transportation, parks and recreation as well as school concurrencies are not required unless the city or county decides to retain them. He also explained that, administratively, the basis for determining whether a comprehensive plan was in compliance was Rule 9J.5, F.A.C.; this has been repealed but components of the Rule would become part of Chapter 163, such as the Urban Sprawl Rule. He explained that each of the review agencies' roles would slightly change but the Regional Planning Council's role would remain the same. He stated that the Council would continue to review plans looking for any extra jurisdictional impacts that would be created and adversely affect the regional facilities that have been identified as being important in the Regional Policy Plan. All the responses would go to the city and county. The Keys' process would not change; the plans would be adopted locally. Mr. Cambric explained that DCA has to notify the city/county within 30 days if the amendment would be appealed; if not DCA did not, it would have no standing to challenge the amendment at a later date, but a citizen would be able to challenge the amendment. Mr. Cambric stated that all the comments would go to the city council or county commission and it would be its decision whether or not to adopt it. He stated that DCA would no longer find a plan in or out of compliance, only that the amendment was adopted and DCA would only challenge the amendment on the basis of what is called State Compelling Interest, for which there is no definition. Mr. Cambric stated the amendment would still come to the Council; the Council would send its comment to the city or county, any affected local governments and to DCA. In less than 30 days of any amendment the Council would need to make DCA, as well as any local government, aware of any regional concerns.

Mr. Cambric stated that the primary organization behind the growth management changes was the Florida Chamber of Commerce. Linda Shelley, land use attorney in Tallahassee, and Nancy Linnan helped draft the legislation; the home builders and several other development community representatives were on board for these changes. Mr. Cambric stated that there would be a Summit on

June 2, 2011, in Aventura, and Linda and/or Nancy would give a presentation. There has been a verbal commitment from Secretary Buzzett to attend; Charles Pattison from 1000 Friends was asked to speak on the environmental point of view and Susan Trevarthen, who works for local governments, was asked to give a local perspective on the changes.

Mr. Cambric stated that last month Councilmember Weekley suggested staff look at the Burt Harris Act changes, HB 701, SB 998. The SB 998 was put on hold and the Senate had taken up the House version; basically stating Burt Harris action would reduce the number of days that the person who believes that their property has been affected by government action and that their market based expectation had been altered. He explained that instead of having 150 days, a resident would have 120 days to file a lawsuit and if a local government passed a regulation, a citizen has one year to challenge under Burt Harris. The proposed changes also added a property adopted moratorium on development that goes for more than year can now be considered a Burt Harris claim. He explained that, as a local government, for purposes of Burt Harris, there would not be sovereign immunity. He stated that the House Bill has been adopted; the Senate version is taking the House Bill 701. Mr. Cambric stated that the language on vacation rentals, HB 883 and SB 476, both moved forward as to what defines a vacation rental and what a local government could and could not do. There will be penalties if pamphlets are handed out on private property. If the vacation rental was in place by June 1, 2011, it will not be affected by this.

Councilmember Asseff stated that the Florida League of Cities has been putting on a workshop throughout the state, Mayor Joy Cooper's Program, called Culture for Communities. Glenda La Hood, the President of the Chamber of Commerce from Tuscaloosa was present. She stated the Program showed how to bring in new businesses and development and how culture is so important and it would be great to invite someone like that to come to the June 2nd summit.

Mr. Osterholt asked the Board for approval for Barrington Wright to fill a vacancy on the Sustainable Communities Board. Mr. Wright is very knowledgeable, has a housing background and has been involved in the Council's RLF process.

Councilmember Asseff made the motion; Councilmember Scuotto seconded the motion, which carried by a unanimous vote.

Discussion ensued among Councilmembers, Mr. Osterholt and Mr. Goren regarding a draft letter drawn up by Mr. Goren to send to the Governor's Office about the status of the Governor's Appointees. The Appointees stated that they had reapplied for their positions. Various letters and/or emails have been received from the Governor's Office and the Appointments Office containing different information. Mr. Osterholt felt a letter from Legal Counsel to clarify the Appointees status would be necessary. It was decided that Mr. Goren would send a softened letter to the Governor's Counsel.

Councilmember Riesco made the motion; Councilmember Sosa seconded the motion, which carried by a unanimous vote.

2. Meeting Locations

Councilmember Sosa stated that the only way the Council could accomplish being regional would be to move within the counties so the residents would see who the Council is and the State of Florida would realize the Council was solving and discussing ideas on regional issues. Councilmember Sosa had spoken with representatives in Tallahassee; those Representatives believed that the Region was just Broward County. Councilmember Sosa explained to the Representatives that the Council represents the

three counties. Councilmember Sosa believed that this was important to make sure the Council addresses the needs of everyone represented.

Councilmember Sosa made the motion to have Council meetings in the various counties. Councilmember Wallace seconded the motion, which carried by a unanimous vote.

Councilmember Asseff commented on the great facility and honor the Murray E. Nelson Government & Cultural Center was to him. Mr. Nelson served with a few Councilmembers in the past and she found this to be a special moment. She was concerned with the cost of moving Council Meetings.

Mr. Osterholt stated that it was not that costly. He explained that the SFRPC rented a 12-passenger van, piled in the staff and arrived; the cost was \$46 plus gas. He stated that the most difficult item would be that the Councilmembers know where the meetings would be held; and scheduling would take time. Mr. Osterholt stated that the Council meetings would be moving around regularly and was glad the Council accepted this idea.

Councilmember Neugent stated that he had been part of the Council for 12 years and pointed out that the Regional Council had accomplished in a very proactive way a lot of the items talked about. One being that the meetings moved from County to County until the economic bottom fell out of the market and the meetings stopped moving around for financial reasons.

C. Legal Counsel Report

Legal Counsel Sam Goren reviewed the status the Hurricane Wilma Loans as well as the Revolving Loan Program. Mr. Goren is still collecting on those pursued except for those that have become bankrupt. He commended Council staff on their diligent effort and there was no litigation to report.

Mr. Taylor wanted to understand what Councilmember Lieberman was recommending at last Council meeting, which was that if a loan were to be behind a day, the legal process should be started. Mr. Taylor, a member of the Loan Administration Board, wanted to know if this was done, what would the cost be to the staff, Council, or Legal Counsel. Mr. Goren stated that RPC staff functions independently from their law firm. He stated that the staff handles the late payment letters and contacting the client regarding the non payment and after a certain amount of days the client would be referred to his office for a demand letter. Any time or expense the law firm incurred, in regards to loan issues or collection matters, was paid for by the budget associated with the Loan Program. In most instances there was response from the borrowers and most of the borrowers are current. Councilmember Asseff stated that Cheryl Cook of Council staff contacts the borrowers before any type of legal action becomes necessary.

Mr. Goren stated that the Executive Director, Cheryl Cook and his firm would get a matrix of staff's responsibility and when the law firm begins with their obligation. Mr. Goren stated that this item would be brought back to the next meeting for clarification.

Councilmember Riesco and Carole Morris of SFWMD left at 12:06 p.m.; Council meeting became the expanded Executive Committee.

V. Program Reports

A. Economic Development

Mr. Cambric stated that Cheryl Cook is on Jury Duty today and he would answer any questions for her.

South Florida Regional Planning Council

Minutes – May 2, 2011

Page 9

1. Revolving Loan Funds
 - a. SFRPC Revolving Loan Funds Status Report
 - b. Hurricane Wilma Bridge Loan Status Report
 - c. Eastward Ho! Brownfields Cleanup Revolving Loan Fund Status Report
 - d. Broward County Cultural Division Artist Micro-Credit Revolving Loan Fund Status Report
- B. DRI Status Report
- C. Evaluation and Appraisal Report (EAR) Status Report
- D. Regional Planning and Policy Issues

1. Initial Census 2010 Summary

There were no comments or suggestions on Program Reports for Economic Development, DRI Status Report, Evaluation and Appraisal Report (EAR) Status Report, or the Regional Planning and Policy Issues.

- E. Southeast Florida's Regional Vision and Blueprint for Economic Prosperity (Sustainable Communities Initiative)

Mr. Osterholt explained how the Southeast Florida's Regional Vision and Blueprint for Economic Prosperity (Sustainable Communities Initiative) would work and stated there were three major works:

1. Mr. Osterholt stated that this Program would create a current condition which would be called a virtual presence. He explained that staff, consultants and people from the universities would collect information on very specific areas: education, transportation, the arts, land use, the environment and with this information, knowledge on the current condition would be part of these activities and would be worked together and blended into one statement of current condition or "virtual presence". He stated that this would be taken to the public via website, as well as, talking to governments, business and church groups; receive their feedback on the Virtual Presence and then a Virtual Presentation would be given for the Council to review.
2. Mr. Osterholt stated that discussions would be on what the current future would be; if the economy, environment, or education would change what would our future look like. He stated that there will be a set of policy responses, a variety of alternative futures based on the current condition statement. He explained that the policies would be developed with the Council; proceed through our technical staff and shared with the public; and brought back to the Council with suggestions of a statement of policy for the Regional Council for the Southeast Florida Region, South Florida Regional Planning Council and the Treasure Coast Regional Planning Council. The Council would be asked to review and eventual adopt the policy.
3. Mr. Osterholt explained that the projects could be rewriting of codes and would demonstrate how our efforts would change the future. He stated that hopefully this process would continue long after this process was adopted. He stated that people would work to implement these policies in real life, on-the-ground situations, and use these policies in a positive way. He explained that this would be a combination on the Sustainable Communities Project, the Regional Plan, which was required by State law, and the Six Pillars Project from the Florida Chamber of Commerce. He stated that these policies would make a difference and that people would support them in the seven-county Region.

South Florida Regional Planning Council

Minutes - May 2, 2011

Page 10

Councilmember Sosa questioned if there was a committee and if anyone represents Miami-Dade County. Mr. Osterholt answered the committee involves of people from both Regional Planning Councils and from the Region. The Chair and Vice-Chair from both Regional Planning Councils sit on it, Mr. Taylor and now Barrington Wright. There are two subcommittees: Operations and Organization and Equity.

Councilmember Wallace asked if it was possible to modify these subcommittees or add to them. Councilmember Asseff suggested someone from Broward and Miami Tourism Boards and/or hotel industry be involved. She stated that creative ideas are needed but if there is no money, ideas cannot be implemented. She explained that Cheryl Cook has created over 1,400 jobs with the RLF and this was a great asset for this Council. She stated that having the Chamber in this partnership was a great idea. Councilmember Wallace suggested that a county commissioner from each of the three counties be part of the Program. Vice Chair Carruthers explained there are commissioners from other counties north of Broward involved and stated that the issue is not the Council's responsibility to make that appointment, it was the responsibility of the Southeast Florida Planning Partnership Board but it would be recommended. She stated that the Southeast Florida Planning Partnership Board was a group of volunteers that have been a part of this for the last year and a half.

VI. Announcements and Attachments

A. Correspondence and Attendance Form

B. Upcoming Meetings

1. June 6th, 10:30 a.m. (Council Offices, Hollywood)
2. July 11th, 10:30 a.m. (Council Offices, Hollywood)
3. August 1st, 10:30 a.m. (Council Offices, Hollywood) - **Executive Committee Only**
4. September 12, 10:30 a.m. (Council Offices, Hollywood)

VII. Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned at 12:21 p.m.

This signature is to attest that the undersigned is the Secretary of the SOUTH FLORIDA REGIONAL PLANNING COUNCIL, and that the information provided herein is the true and correct minutes for the May 2nd, 2011 meeting of the SOUTH FLORIDA REGIONAL PLANNING COUNCIL adopted the 3rd day of June 2011.

Rebeca Sosa, Secretary

Date