

SOUTH FLORIDA REGIONAL PLANNING COUNCIL

Minutes

October 6, 2008

The South Florida Regional Planning Council met this date at the Council Offices, 3440 Hollywood Boulevard, Suite 140, Hollywood, Florida. The Chair, Councilmember Perez, called the meeting to order at 10:30 a.m.

AGENDA ITEM ONE: Pledge of Allegiance

AGENDA ITEM TWO: Roll Call

Councilmember Patricia Asseff
Councilmember Michael Blynn
Councilmember Scott Brook
Councilmember Jay R. Chernoff
Councilmember Suzanne Gunzburger
Councilmember George Neugent
Councilmember Marta Perez
Councilmember Joseph Scuotto
Councilmember Paul Wallace
Councilmember Sandra Walters
Councilmember Lois Wexler

The following Ex-Officio members were present:

Mr. Tim Gray representing the Florida Department of Environmental Protection, and
Ms. Carole Morris on behalf of Mr. Elbert Waters, representing the South Florida Water Management District.

The following members arrived after the roll call:

Councilmember Sally Heyman
Councilmember Ilene Lieberman
Councilmember Dennis Moss
Ex-officio Member Gary Donn

AGENDA ITEM THREE: Minutes of previous Meeting

Councilmember Gunzburger moved to approve the Minutes from the previous meeting. Councilmember Chernoff seconded the motion, which carried by a unanimous vote.

AGENDA ITEM FOUR: Project Reviews

a) Intergovernmental Coordination and Review Report

Councilmember Gunzburger moved to approve the Intergovernmental Coordination and Review Report. Councilmember Neugent seconded the motion, which carried by a unanimous vote.

AGENDA ITEM FIVE: Development of Regional Impact (DRI) Program

a) DRI Assessment Reports

None

b) Development Orders (DO)

None

c) DRI Status Report

Information only

AGENDA ITEM SIX: Proposed Local Government Comprehensive Plan/Amendment Reviews

a) Proposed Public Education Facilities Element (PEFE)/Capital Improvements Element (CIE) Updates Amendments - None

b) City of Miami Springs

Councilmember Gunzburger moved to approve the staff recommendation. Councilmember Asseff seconded the motion, which carried by a unanimous vote.

c) City of Homestead

Councilmember Walters moved to approve the staff recommendation. Councilmember Scuotto seconded the motion, which carried by a unanimous vote.

d) Town of Cutler Bay

Councilmember Chernoff moved to approve the staff recommendation. Councilmember Asseff seconded the motion, which carried by a unanimous vote.

e) City of Layton

Councilmember Gunzburger moved to approve the staff recommendation. Councilmember Neugent seconded the motion, which carried by a unanimous vote.

f) Broward County (#08-2ARA)

Councilmember Gunzburger moved to approve the staff recommendation. Councilmember Asseff seconded the motion, which carried by a unanimous vote.

g) Broward County (#08-2ARB)

Councilmember Gunzburger moved to approve the staff recommendation. Councilmember Asseff seconded the motion, which carried by a unanimous vote.

h) Broward County (#08D-2AR)

Councilmember Gunzburger moved to approve the staff recommendation. Councilmember Chernoff seconded the motion, which carried by a unanimous vote.

i) City of Sunrise

Councilmember Scuotto moved to approve the staff recommendation. Councilmember Asseff seconded the motion, which carried by a unanimous vote.

j) City of Weston

Councilmember Wexler stated that the staff recommendations typically include which elements are found consistent and which ones are found inconsistent; this one does not do that. There are comments listed on page four of the staff report, but the recommendation does not reflect those comments. She requested an explanation.

Ms. Dekle stated that staff had an extensive discussion about this item and came to the following rationale, which the Council could follow should it see fit. Staff objected to Broward County's comprehensive plan amendments which included a reduction of standard for transit compliance within the plan, which the County felt were items that it could no longer financially support. Because the municipalities are not the funders of the transit enhancement by and large, staff thought that the City would be at a disadvantage in the future if it were to reduce its transit framework, especially if the County were to in fact have the resources to invest in transit at a more substantial level. Staff also hopes that the County, should it have the resources, would consider a municipality's strong transit policy framework as one criteria. This was a comment, not an objection because the municipality does not have the ability to fund those investments. It is up to the Council members to decide how to proceed.

Council members Heyman and Moss arrived at the meeting.

Councilmember Wexler stated that she represented part of this City and there are no buses that go through it, only around it on Weston Road. She added that she had just received a transmittal from the City for bus shelters on Weston Road. Weston Road is shared by the Cities of Sunrise and Weston. As a regional body, she stated, she is concerned about omitting the mechanisms and standard for level of service. It kind of says that it won't ever be, and ever is a really long time. The Board of County Commissioners has had some very aggressive conversations about priorities and one that was identified was mass transit. It might be real today that there aren't any buses going through Weston, but to prohibit it, she is hoping that is not what this is, for the future. If it is, she is not going to support it and she is going to ask that the Council not support it either.

Councilmember Gunzburger stated that she would like to support Councilmember Wexler. She stated it is not proper to not encourage mass transit, especially at a time of economic hardships when people are being urged to also consider climate change.

Councilmember Wexler stated that she would like to have the staff comments acknowledged in the recommendation.

Councilmember Brook stated that it was his understanding that the Council's motions always include staff comments.

Ms. Dekle stated that was correct. If the Council should choose to elevate it to the level of an objection, it could do that now and staff could make the modification prior to transmittal.

Councilmember Wexler stated that is what she would like to see.

Councilmember Lieberman arrived at the meeting.

Mr. Samuel S. Goren, Esq., legal counsel, stated this is the time to comment.

Councilmember Chernoff stated that he agreed with Councilmember Wexler, and asked if there was a representative from the City.

Ms. Shelly Eichner responded she was present to represent the City of Weston.

Councilmember Chernoff asked if she had been briefed on the recommendations.

Ms. Eichner replied, yes.

Councilmember Chernoff then asked if the City had any problems with any of the recommendations.

Ms. Eichner stated that the issue, as Ms. Dekle mentioned earlier, becomes County funding and holding the City to a level of service standard in the absence of transit funding. The City of Weston has demonstrated a commitment to transit; in fact the City has budgeted just over \$1 million for bus shelters and is working with Broward County mass transit in terms of the location of those shelters. This also happens at a time when services were threatened to be cut on a transit road; the City advocated for use of smaller buses for efficiency purposes, instead of cutting service. The City is working to be consistent with the County's comprehensive plan, but it is difficult to maintain the level of service standards when there is no funding. Maybe some policies can be worked out to indicate further coordination and work with the County, but not a strict level of service standard.

Councilmember Chernoff asked, so the City is happy with the remarks here, but doesn't agree with them.

Ms. Dekle stated that there was time to work on that between now and adoption.

Councilmember Wexler asked if there were any other cities that had removed these elements from their plans.

Ms. Rachel Kalin, Council staff, stated that she was not aware of any.

Councilmember Wexler stated that she also was not aware of any, and would not like to see this start a trend. She asked if there was some sort of penalty because there is a level of service standard and the County doesn't have the money to fund it.

Ms. Dekle stated that what the County was trying to avoid in its comprehensive plans earlier and now with the City's own, is there is the potential for the Department of Community Affairs (DCA), when it reviews the Evaluation and Appraisal Report (EAR) amendments, to ensure that they, in fact, are fully funded. Because the municipality is not the funder, I don't believe that they would be held to that standard, and that is why staff is suggesting what it is suggesting. Staff can work with the City between now and the time of adoption to find language that would accommodate both sets of concerns so that the City still has a policy framework and could receive resources from the County when and if the County has resources to do so.

Councilmember Wexler stated that removing it is just not acceptable. As she understands it, the penalty is to the County, not to the cities.

Councilmember Wexler made a motion to include language that shows an objection to the transportation element as referred to in the comments raised by staff.

Councilmember Walters asked if this motion was changing the staff recommendation.

Councilmember Wexler stated that she was under the impression that only the approved staff recommendation was part of the motion made by the Council, but if the motion also included those comments raised by staff then she was ok with that. She is okay with that because all of the comments raised by staff address her concerns.

Councilmember Wexler made a motion to amend the staff recommendation to also include the comments raised by staff. Councilmember Gunzburger seconded the motion, which carried by a unanimous vote.

Councilmember Wexler moved to approve the amended staff recommendation. Councilmember Gunzburger seconded the motion, which carried by a unanimous vote.

k) City of Wilton Manors

Councilmember Gunzburger moved to approve the staff recommendation. Councilmember Lieberman seconded the motion, which carried by a unanimous vote.

AGENDA ITEM SEVEN: Adopted Local Government Comprehensive Plan/Amendment Reviews

a) Adopted Public Education Facilities Element (PEFE)/Capital Improvements Element (CIE) Updates Amendments

- City of Plantation
- City of North Miami

Councilmember Walters moved to approve the staff recommendation. Councilmember Gunzburger seconded the motion, which carried by a unanimous vote.

b) City of Parkland

Councilmember Lieberman moved to approve the staff recommendation. Councilmember Asseff seconded the motion, which carried by a unanimous vote.

c) City of Sunrise

Councilmember Lieberman moved to approve the staff recommendation. Councilmember Asseff seconded the motion, which carried by a unanimous vote.

d) City of Coral Springs

Councilmember Lieberman moved to approve the staff recommendation. Councilmember Gunzburger seconded the motion, which carried by a unanimous vote.

e) Town of Lauderdale-by-the-Sea

Councilmember Gunzburger moved to approve the staff recommendation. Councilmember Lieberman seconded the motion, which carried by a unanimous vote.

f) Broward County

Councilmember Chernoff moved to approve the staff recommendation. Councilmember Asseff seconded the motion, which carried by a unanimous vote.

g) City of Lauderhill

Councilmember Lieberman moved to approve the staff recommendation. Councilmember Gunzburger seconded the motion, which carried by a unanimous vote.

AGENDA ITEM EIGHT: Reports

a) Executive Committee Report

Councilmember Perez state there was nothing to report.

b) Financial Report

Councilmember Chernoff moved to approve the Financial Report for August. Councilmember Gunzburger seconded the motion, which carried by a unanimous vote.

Councilmember Brook asked when the Council would be audited.

Mr. Vernon Paul, Jr., Council staff, responded that the audit would begin in November.

Councilmember Moss asked if the Council had any financial concerns regarding the recent economic situation.

Mr. Paul responded all of the Council's deposits are protected by law, the State will verify the funding that is available in order to guarantee the amount that the Council has. Currently the Council has \$135,000 in the State Board of Administration (SBA), of which approximately \$95,000 is liquid and approximately \$40,000 is not yet liquid.

c) Executive Director's Report

Ms. Carolyn A. Dekle, Executive Director, introduced the Council's new Assistant Director, Keven Klopp. She then reported that staff has added a new column to the EAR which lists the next due date. She informed the Council that there will be a Workforce Housing Symposium on November 12, 2008 at the Broward Center for the Performing Arts; the information is provided at everyone's seat. She reported that there was a new act that was passed in 2008; it is called the Housing and Economic Recovery Act. Staff is making itself available to provide information to member units of local government. An information sheet will be provided to the Council in November. The Homestead Loan Program has now been transferred to the Council. It comes to the Council in a troubled state, and the Council is only responsible for it from the date of transfer forward.

Councilmember Walters stated that she had received an inquiry as to why Monroe County was not invited to participate in the Workforce Housing Symposium. She stated that she had a discussion with

Jim Murley and was informed that it was Miami-Dade and Broward business entities that are hosting it and are somewhat reluctant to let any other entity be involved, although Council staff has tried. She stated that she suggested that the Chambers of Commerce, or at least the Key West Chamber of Commerce and the Keys get in contact with some of these people. She stated she was not sure if anyone had followed up on that. It would be great to have a Monroe County business perspective, because it is the poster child for affordable housing problems, and has gone through more issues and constraints than anyone.

Ms. Dekle stated that Council staff has been working very hard on this.

Ms. Isabel Cosio Carballo, Council staff, clarified that the Regional Workforce Housing Conference and regional housing study is being spearheaded by the Regional Business Alliance in partnership with county based public private housing partnerships in Broward and Palm Beach and the Greater Miami Chamber of Commerce Workforce Housing Committee. They understand that Monroe County's issues are very important and want to include Monroe County in the development of a regional workforce housing agenda at the Conference. She stated that it would be helpful if the council members would please let her know who she should contact, particularly in Monroe County, so that she can follow up with information and an invitation.

Councilmember Walters stated that perhaps Councilmember Neugent could weigh in on who from Monroe County should participate.

Councilmember Neugent responded Monroe County has an affordable housing committee that is very active, and he will talk to several of those people to inform them that this function is taking place. Certainly just sitting in the audience attendees would be able to gain some information. Miami-Dade, Broward and Palm Beach Counties have very different affordable housing issues than Monroe County. Monroe County primarily has issues finding land to build affordable housing on. He stated he would let some people know.

Councilmember Wexler stated that this week the Counties and larger cities will have people in Washington, D.C. for the briefings of what is involved for the Federal money that is coming down. The plans need to be in D.C. by December, which is only a couple of weeks after the Symposium. It is very critical that everyone be informed and aware.

Ms. Dekle stated that the DCA would be doing a briefing as well, she would make sure that a member of Council staff is in attendance.

Councilmember Wexler informed the Council that the neat thing about the Federal money was that it could be used for land banking and re-habilitation so that cities and counties could buy these abandoned, low-cost properties and really do something with it as it is married to affordable housing.

d) Legal Counsel Report

Mr. Goren informed the Council that Attorney General McCollum waived his right to represent the Council; this is a statutory requirement every year. The Council, therefore, has an individual right to choose its legal representation, and its choice to continue its relationship with Goren, Cheroff, Doody & Ezrol, P.A. must also be approved by the Attorney General, which it has. He then moved on to discuss the issue that was raised last month regarding the acceptance letter from S. Davis and Associates. Mr. Goren stated that he sent a letter to Mr. Davis and received a letter from Mr. Davis, in which he has committed to the fact that there was no intention to violate Chapter 119 or 286. The language was AICP form, which is typical.

Councilmember Wallace stated that the motion that was passed was to amend the language in the contract to remove anything that was inconsistent with State law pursuant to advice from legal counsel. It is time to have counsel advice what is inconsistent and summarily amend that contract.

Mr. Goren stated Mr. Davis recognizes his obligations and to the extent that this letter represented that to me as counsel, I will then work with them to correct any inconsistencies.

Councilmember Wallace asked if it would be rewritten.

Mr. Goren responded that he had spoken to Mr. Davis to indicate the Council's concerns based on the letter and try to reform the contract for a discussion which he will have with Mr. Davis. Mr. Davis is still performing a service based on the terms of the previous contract. The main issue was that of the components that would break the sunshine law.

Councilmember Wallace stated that he understood that the motion was to have the contract rewritten pursuant to counsel's opinion as to what is legal and not legal.

Mr. Goren stated that the conversation that he had with Mr. Davis was the starting point for that.

Mr. Goren informed the Council that all filed motions for intervention were denied by the Administrative Law Judge. He stated that he commended Broward County and its legal staff for being professional and courteous in informing his office prior to filing yet another objection to the amended petition to the Administrative Law Judge. Regrettably the Statute does not give the Council a direct pathway on appeal, although I think arguably it does. The Council's objective for a number of years has been to change the Statute. On the Broward County matter, the DCA did raise the Council's objections in its petition. That illustrates the importance of the Council's comments at the time of review.

e) Evaluation and Appraisal Report (EAR) Status Report

Information only

f) Audio and Video Conferencing for Council Meetings

Ms. Dekle informed the Council that this item was for its information and review at this time. She asked that the members review the information and bring back any suggestions of things that are missing or things that they would like to see incorporated. The Council will not be able to do a lot this year in terms of major expenses.

Councilmember Wexler stated that she would like to see the cost of travel expenses that this option would replace as this would help in the decision making. She then asked if the members that travel for the meetings had been polled as to whether they would prefer this option over traveling for the meeting.

Ms. Dekle stated that those members had been polled, and they stated that they would prefer to attend the meetings.

Councilmember Wexler asked, so this would be a backup plan?

Ms. Dekle responded, yes it would. She added that the Council is also aware that this tool could not always be used when it comes to having a quorum present. It would be used for connectivity, possibly for meetings outside of Council meetings.

Councilmember Wexler stated that all conference calls can be done for little or no expense through Suncom, whereas the video conferencing would be a capital investment.

Ex-Officio Member Donn arrived at the meeting.

Councilmember Heyman asked for clarification on who was polled regarding attending versus video conferencing.

Ms. Dekle stated that the Council members were the ones polled.

Councilmember Heyman stated that each county has its own video conferencing setup, so there would be no cost incurred there. She asked legal counsel if the Council could use it.

Mr. Goren stated that the Council has a rule that recognizes this type of technology; it does however require that there is clear, interactive communication and there must be a physical quorum present.

Councilmember Heyman requested that legal counsel check into the issue of the necessary physical quorum present when using the video conferencing technology.

Mr. Goren stated that he would look into it and bring more information to the next meeting.

AGENDA ITEM NINE: Revolving Loan Funds

a) SFRPC Revolving Loan Funds Status Report

Councilmember Brook requested that staff send thank you letters to the loan applicants that make regular, timely payments.

b) Hurricane Wilma Bridge Loan Status Report

Information only

Councilmember Asseff stated that the Council would like to thank staff and legal counsel for all of their hard work on the Hurricane Wilma loans.

c) Eastward Ho! Brownfields Cleanup Revolving Loan Fund Status Report

Information only

d) Broward County Cultural Division Artist Micro-Credit Revolving Loan Fund Status Report

Information only

AGENDA ITEM TEN: Contracts

None

AGENDA ITEM ELEVEN: Highlights of Council Activities

Information only

AGENDA ITEM TWELVE: Special Projects

Councilmember Walters stated that she would like to have a report at the next meeting regarding when there would be a product from the Statewide Regional Evacuation Study Program.

AGENDA ITEM THIRTEEN: Strategic Regional Policy Plan (SRPP)

None.

AGENDA ITEM FOURTEEN: Regional Initiatives with Treasure Coast Regional Planning Council

a) Southeast Florida 2060 Update

Information only.

AGENDA ITEM FIFTEEN: Other Matters for Consideration

a) SFRTA Strategic Regional Transit Plan

Mr. Joe Quinty, Planner for the Regional Transportation Authority (RTA), thanked the Council for the opportunity to present this information. He also thanked Council staff for all of its help along the way. The RTA Board directed its staff to get a broader audience looking at this presentation in order to get more feedback. He introduced Mr. Joe Yesbeck, from Carter and Burgess, who would be giving the presentation.

Mr. Yesbeck gave a presentation on the RTA's Strategic Regional Transit Plan. Topics covered included the following:

- Project goal,
- Key study questions,
- Study process - partner outreach,
- What makes the project regional,
- Overall findings,
- Trip flows,
- Three transit networks;
 - Connective network;
 - Productive network; and
 - Value network,
- Network performance,
- Key findings,
 - Cost breakdown;
 - Funding overview; and
 - Funding source options, and
- "Road Map" for the months ahead.

Councilmember Wexler asked what the RTA was looking for as a result of doing this presentation. She asked if the RTA was looking for support for a financial plan.

Mr. Yesbeck stated that the RTA Board is looking toward adopting a plan; within that plan will be a financial plan to implement that which gets adopted.

Councilmember Wexler stated that she, at this point, is prepared to support that which she has supported for years and that is a \$2 charge on rental car fees. She stated that she is not willing to support any fees added to vehicle title or registration fees.

Councilmember Heyman stated that she would like to see the Council have a seat at some of these different transit meetings. She stated that she was excited to see exploration of the FEC corridor, which is critical. An FEC Corridor Coalition has just been established and it includes representation from Miami-Dade, Broward, and Palm Beach Counties. This coalition will be working on finding state and federal funding sources to get people out of their cars.

Councilmember Lieberman stated that there is not enough information provided for the Council to make a decision at this time. This is just a hi, this is what we're looking at, and we'll be back in December. The Council is going to need more information, including where they got the dollar amounts for both capital and operating. She stated that she agreed with Councilmember Heyman, even though it is more expensive, rail is the way of the future. We may have to break apart the rail plan to make it financially feasible, but if you are looking at long term viability within the South Florida region, rail is essential. Broward County has rallied every year that she can think of for the \$2 rental fee and have yet to get it. One of the things that Broward has lead the way in is this; it has a mass transit concurrency fee as opposed to developers paying road impact fees. She suggested that the RTA look into that as an option.

Councilmember Scuotto stated that he wanted to emphasize how important transportation is. The problem here in Florida is that there aren't that many jobs in the downtown areas like there are in places like New York or Chicago. All of the communities are sprawled out, now a lot of cities are doing infill and transit oriented developments to bring people together. Because of the amount of money that it requires to do these things people don't want to go for it, but yet we do need it. The hard part is making the sale, because some cities are not affected by this and don't want to participate or pay for it. We are at a point where we desperately need it. They did it in Denver 10 years ago and it is helping to revitalize areas. This project needs collaboration from everyone, but we need a starting point to get something going. Twenty years from now Florida is going to be either boom or bust, because if people can't get to work they are going to leave the state.

Councilmember Brook asked what the RTA's current media and marketing strategy was.

Mr. Quinty stated due to budgetary constraints there has not been a technical analysis done a regional level for transit, so we will start there then move on to the typical committees. Projects always get sidetracked on the funding, but the RTA is hoping to come up with more creative ways to fund this. The RTA has hopes that future workshops and hopefully a regional summit in 2009 will provide more buy-in to really take this out and get the citizens involved.

Councilmember Brook suggested that they invite focus groups from the communities to assist with that. We are in the middle of a tough economy right now, and everyone's first instinct is going to be: no, not me, I can't afford it. To change that kind of mindset takes education over a period of time. Involving the community is the key. He also suggested sharing this with local publications. Ultimately, we will all have to pay for it, but if we look at it as an investment for the future it will help sell it.

Councilmember Wallace stated that there is no regional governance, regional financing or regional management to anything that is done in South Florida. The logical thing would be to propose a study of the concept of a regional transportation management authority that would have input from all of the

areas in the region that could in the end have decision making authority. As long as the counties are making their own decisions, there is never going to be anything regional in South Florida.

AGENDA ITEM SIXTEEN: Correspondence and Attendance Form

Information only.

AGENDA ITEM SEVENTEEN: Councilmember Comments/Public Comments

Councilmember Lieberman stated that she had dinner with Secretary Pelham about two weeks ago, where they discussed DCA and other issues in her capacity as chair of the Florida Regional Councils Association (FRCA) organization. He has no objection to any of the changes that the Council wants on Chapter 163, but he does not think that he will have an agency bill, because this year he is up for Sunset review. One of two things will happen; she stated. First there will be a bill, which will be a Sunset review of his agency and we can tack onto that changes to Chapter 163. She requested that the Chair ask Mr. Lee Killinger to look at opportunities where the Council can tack on its amendments. The other likely scenario is that the Legislature doesn't have any stomach for growth management this year and could simply extend the life of his agency another year or two, in which case there will be no bill this year.

Councilmember Brook stated that he had asked about setting up a date for strategic planning, but he does not recall having a date set for it.

Ms. Dekle stated that there had not been a date set for it. She stated that she was planning on setting that for after the first of the year.

Councilmember Brook stated that would be a good time, but the Council members would need to be given advance notice of at least 90 days.

Councilmember Heyman asked if the Council could qualify who gets to appoint prior to it happening and make sure that they are aware that attendance is important.

Councilmember Lieberman stated that the last time that was done Katy Sorenson was taken off of the Council.

Councilmember Heyman stated that Miami-Dade County has three seats, but there always seems to be a void.

Councilmember Brook also suggested that the Council hold an orientation for all of the new members.

Ms. Dekle stated that staff could arrange for that, it could be held after a Council meeting and anyone interested in staying could do so.

Councilmember Brook then asked if the November and December agendas could be consolidated and all be held in November.

Councilmember Lieberman explained that the Council would lose the right to comment on anything that would come in after the November meeting, or those items that would normally be on the agenda for the December meeting.

AGENDA ITEM EIGHTEEN: Upcoming Meetings

South Florida Regional Planning Council

Minutes – October 6, 2008

Page 13

- a) November 3rd, 10:30 a.m. (Council Offices, Hollywood)
- b) December 1st, 10:30 a.m. (Council Offices, Hollywood)
- c) January 5th, 10:30 a.m. (Council Offices, Hollywood)
- d) February 2nd, 10:30 a.m. (council Offices, Hollywood)

AGENDA ITEM NINETEEN: Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned at 11:50 a.m.

This signature is to attest that the undersigned is the Secretary of the SOUTH FLORIDA REGIONAL PLANNING COUNCIL, and that the information provided herein is the true and correct minutes for the October 6, 2008 meeting of the SOUTH FLORIDA REGIONAL PLANNING COUNCIL adopted the 3rd day of November 2008.

Jay R. Chernoff, Secretary

Date