23. HURRICANE PREPAREDNESS

A. 1. Identify any residential development proposed within the hurricane vulnerability zone delineated in the applicable regional hurricane evacuation study, regional public hurricane shelter study or adopted county peacetime emergency plan. If so, delineate the proposed development's location on the appropriate county and/or regional hurricane evacuation map and respond to questions B.(1) and B.(2) below. Proposed mobile home and park trailer developments should answer question B.(1), regardless of location, or answer questions B.(1) and B.(2) below, if proposed within the hurricane vulnerability zone or the high hazard hurricane evacuation area.

Pursuant to the Agreement to Delete for the Parkland DRI as signed by the South Florida Regional Planning Council Director on March 29, 2006, a response to this question is not required.

A. 2. Identify any hotel/motel or recreational vehicle/travel trailer development proposed within the high hazard hurricane evacuation area delineated in the applicable regional hurricane evacuation study, regional public hurricane shelter study, or adopted county peacetime emergency plan. If present, delineate the proposed development's location on the appropriate county or regional hurricane evacuation map and answer questions B.(1) and B.(2) below.

Pursuant to the Agreement to Delete for the Parkland DRI as signed by the South Florida Regional Planning Council Director on March 29, 2006, a response to this question is not required.

A. 3. Identify whether the proposed development is located in a designated special hurricane preparedness district.

Pursuant to the Agreement to Delete for the Parkland DRI as signed by the South Florida Regional Planning Council Director on March 29, 2006, a response to this question is not required.

B. 1. For each phase of the development, determine the development's public hurricane shelter space requirements based on the behavioral assumptions identified in the applicable regional study or county plan. Identify the existing public hurricane shelter space capacity during the one hundred year or category three hurricane event within the county where the development is being proposed and indicate whether the county has a deficit or surplus of public hurricane shelter space during the one hundred year or category three hurricane event.

Pursuant to the Agreement to Delete for the Parkland DRI as signed by the South Florida Regional Planning Council Director on March 29, 2006, a response to Questions A.1, A.2 and A.3 were not required by this DRI since the project site is not located within any of the Hurricane Evacuation Storm Surge Evacuation Zones as designated by the Miami-Dade County Office of Emergency Management (see **Map 23-1**). Notwithstanding this fact, and even though the project site is not within an evacuation

zone, the South Florida Regional Planning Council requested that a response be provided for Question B.1 to determine the project's impact (if any) on public hurricane shelter space capacity.

To respond to this question, participation rates and destination percentages (including the percent of evacuees using local public shelter space), has been obtained from the *Miami-Dade Transportation Analysis Hurricane Evacuation Study Update 2003* (prepared by PBS&J for the US Army Corps of Engineers, Jacksonville District, in cooperation with the Miami-Dade County Office of Emergency Management). Data on behavioral assumptions, specifically related to the number of persons and vehicles per occupied dwelling unit, were obtained from the Year 2000 census data for the metropolitan area.

Given the fact that the project site is not located within a designated storm surge hurricane evacuation zone and therefore no evacuations would be mandatory, the proposed development (at buildout) was estimated to add 1,041 public shelter evacuees in the event that 50% of the project chose to evacuate, and 1,458 public shelter evacuees in the event that 70% of the project chose to evacuate. **Tables 23.1A and 23.1B** summarize the assumptions and calculated statistics for the number of project generated evacuating vehicles and project generated public shelter demand assuming that 50% (**Table 23.1A**) or 70% (**Table 23.1B**) of the units chose to evacuate. Given the character of the proposed development and its location completely outside any of the evacuation storm surge zones, it is unlikely that evacuation rates would reach even the levels studied herein. Homebuilding design in this project will be required to meet all applicable Florida Building Code Standards, and will be required to provide hurricane shutters or code compliance window protection for all residential and non residential buildings on site.

In July of 2005, the Miami-Dade County Office of Emergency Management indicated that hurricane evacuation center capacity for Miami-Dade County consists of 60,000 public shelter spaces with a hurricane storm demand estimated at 60,000 public shelter spaces.

The development program for the Parkland DRI includes a High School which will be designed to serve a dual purpose as Hurricane Evacuation Shelter. The facility is anticipated to increase the Miami-Dade County Shelter Capacity by 1,500 persons, thus the project will provide adequate shelter capacity for its residents in the unlikely event that 70% of Parkland chooses to evacuate.

Given the County's current shelter capacity and the additional shelter space that the Applicant will provide on site, it is anticipated that the proposed development will have little adverse impact on the availability of hurricane shelter space in Miami Dade County, and will in fact increase the availability of hurricane evacuation center capacity for Miami-Dade residents.

B. 2. For each phase of the development, determine the number of evacuating vehicles the development would generate during a hurricane evacuation event based on the transportation and behavioral assumptions identified in the applicable regional study or county plan. Identify the nearest designated hurricane evacuation route and determine what percentage of level of service E hourly directional and maximum service volume the project will utilize.

Pursuant to Figure 7 from the Transportation Element of the 2005 adopted Miami-Dade County CDMP (see **Map 23-2**), designated evacuation routes in the vicinity of the proposed DRI consist of Florida's Turnpike (HEFT), SR 874, SR 826, SR 836, US-1, Krome Avenue and US-41.

Given the location of the DRI outside any of the designated storm surge hurricane evacuation zones, the project's participation in hurricane evacuation was evaluated assuming 50% of the residential units evacuated, and 70% of the residential units evacuated. Projected traffic assignments to the designated hurricane evacuation routes are provided on the attached **Map 23-3**. The percentage of project traffic estimated to use these designated evacuation routes at project buildout is provided in **Tables 23.1A** and 23.1B under the 50% and 70% evacuation scenarios. Also provided are the calculations of evacuation project traffic as a percent of the level of service E hourly directional maximum service volume.

Using the updated hurricane study and the socioeconomic and behavioral assumptions referenced above, the proposed development at build out (with a 50% Evacuation Participation Rate) will add a total of 3,644 evacuating vehicles in a category 3 storm to the adjacent evacuation roadway segments. The proposed development at build out (with a 70% Evacuation Participation Rate) will add a total of 5,102 evacuating vehicles in a category 3 storm to the adjacent evacuation roadway segments.

To calculate the development's maximum *hourly* contribution to the evacuation network, the highest hourly percentage (30%) of evacuees loading the road network was obtained from the medium behavioral response curve shown in Figure 2-5 on page 2-10 of the updated hurricane study referenced above. Using the highest hourly percentage of 30% and the assumptions set forth in **Tables 23.1A and 23.1B**, the proposed development's maximum hourly contribution of evacuation traffic for each roadway segment is provided at buildout in **Tables 23.1A and 23.1B**.

Those roadways designated as official evacuation routes (pursuant to Figure 7 of the Transportation Element in the adopted CDMP) were then also analyzed to determine if the proposed development would utilize 25 percent or more of the evacuation route's LOS E hourly directional maximum service volume and would thus have a material adverse effect (state's DRI definition) on the local area's evacuation network. **Tables 23.1A and 23.1B** provide the calculations to show the maximum hourly evacuation vehicles as a % of the LOS E hourly directional service volume.

Evacuation vehicles assigned to Florida's Turnpike represent 10.5% of the LOS E maximum service volume when 50% of the project vehicles evacuate, and 14.7% of the LOS E maximum service volume when 70% of the project vehicles evacuate. For all other designated hurricane evacuation routes, evacuation vehicles also stay below the 25% threshold of LOS E (see **Map 23-4**).

The evacuation traffic assignments from the DRI are not anticipated to impact clearance times for Miami Dade County road segments in the north and northeast part of the county. These segments will control the overall evacuation clearance times on which the county bases its evacuation decision making. The proposed DRI will have little to no impact on the bottlenecks in the other parts of the county.

C. Identify and describe any action(s) or provisions that will be undertaken to mitigate impacts on hurricane preparedness.

Pursuant to the Agreement to Delete for the Parkland DRI as signed by the South Florida Regional Planning Council Director on March 29, 2006, a response to this question is not required.

Notwithstanding that requirement, the development program for the Parkland DRI includes a High School which will be designed to serve a dual purpose as Hurricane Evacuation Shelter (see **Map 23-5**). The facility is anticipated to increase the Miami-Dade County Shelter Capacity by 1,500 persons, which will be more than adequate to accommodate the projected 1,458 public shelter evacuees in the unlikely event that 70% of the Parkland population chooses to evacuate.





			TABLE 23.1A PARKLAND	.1A JD				
		HURRIC	ANE EVACUATION	HURRICANE EVACUATION TRAFFIC ANALYSIS	(I)			
		Evaci	Evacuation Vehicles Generated by Project	nerated by Project				
Dwelling Units	6941	residential du's						
Evacuation Participation Rate/	2004	ation to						
Categoly 3 numbane	30%	OI UIIIS	1000					
Vehicles per Unit	1.75 1.05	vehicles per permanent unit vehicles per occupied seasc	oer permanent unit oer occupied seasonal unit					
Evacuation Vehicle Usage Rate	%09	of permanent unit vehicles	shicles					
	%00L	or seasonal unit venicles	licies					
Seasonal Unit Occupancy Levels	35% 95%	low seasonal occupancy high seasonal occupancy	ancy					
Additional Evacuation Vehicles		Category 3						
Generated by Project		3644 e	evac vehicles					
		Public	Public Shelter Demand Generated by Project	enerated by Project				
People per Unit	3.00	3.00 people per permanent unit	int unit					
	3.00	3.00 people per occupied seasonal unit	seasonal unit					
Percent of Evacuees to I ocal Public Shelter	10%	of permanent reside	evacuees (remainde	10% of permanent resident evacuees (remainder to local homes of friends/relatives or out of county) 2% of seasonal resident evacuees (remainder to out of county destinations)	friends/relatives or c	out of county)		
Additional Public Shelter Demand		Category 3			,			
Generated by Project			people					
		Evacuation Vehicle	es as Percent of LO	Evacuation Vehicles as Percent of LOS E Directional Service Volume	rice Volume		-	
				Category 3	ory 3			
				Designated Evacuation Routes	cuation Routes			
	!							:
	HEF I Florida's Turnpike	SR 874	SR 826	SR 836	US-1	Krome Avenue	US-41 West of Krome	US-41 East of Krome
Percent of Evacuation Traffic Using								
Adjacent Evacuation Road Network	%08	10%	10%	40%	2%	15%	1%	2%
Evacuation Vehicles by Route	2915	364 hiplee	364	364	182	547	36	182
Dahoot Dougle Opening to a Distriction	verncies	vericies	veriicies	Verlicies	verncies	verncies	Verlicies	Verlicies
Traffic as a % of Total Evacuation Traffic as a % of Total Evacuation Traffic								
Based on Medium Behavioral Response Curve	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%
Highest Hourly Contribution of Evacuation	875	109	109	109	22	164	11	55
Traffic by Route from Project	vehicles	vehicles	vehicles	vehicles	vehicles	vehicles	vehicles	vehicles
Maximum Directional LOS E	8320	6150	8380	8380	2790	1860	068	1860
Service Volume by Route	ven per nour	ven per nour	ven per nour	ven per nour	ven per nour	ven per nour	ven per nour	ven per nour
Maximum Hourly Evacuation Venicles as a % of LOS E Hourly Directional Service Volume	10.5%	1.8%	1.3%	1.3%	2.0%	8.8%	1.2%	2.9%
`							-	7

			TABLE 23.1B	1 9				
		HURRIC	PAKKLAND ANE EVACUATION TF	PARKLAND HURRICANE EVACUATION TRAFFIC ANALYSIS	m			
		Evacı	Evacuation Vehicles Generated by Project	nerated by Project				
Dwelling Units	6941	residential du's						
	0	notel rooms						
Evacuation Participation Rate/ Category 3 Hurricane	%02	of units						
Vehicles per Unit	1.75		ent unit					
	1.05	vehicles per occupie	per occupied seasonal unit					
Evacuation Vehicle Usage Rate	60% 100%	of permanent unit vehicles of seasonal unit vehicles	hicles					
Seasonal Unit Occupancy Levels	35%	low seasonal occupancy	ancy					
		high seasonal occupancy	ancy					
Additional Evacuation Vehicles		Category 3						
Generated by Project		5102	evac vehicles					
		Public	Public Shelter Demand Generated by Project	enerated by Project				
People per Unit	3.00	3.00 people per permanent unit	nt unit					
	3.00	3.00 people per occupied seasonal unit	seasonal unit					
Percent of Evacuees to	10%	of permanent reside	nt evacuees (remain	10% of permanent resident evacuees (remainder to local homes of friends/relatives or out of county)	friends/relatives or c	out of county)		
Local Public Shelter	%7.	of seasonal resident	evacuees (remainde	2% of seasonal resident evacuees (remainder to out of county destinations)	stinations)			
Additional Public Shelter Demand		Category 3						
Generated by Project		1458	people					
		Evacuation Vehicle	es as Percent of LO	Evacuation Vehicles as Percent of LOS E Directional Service Volume	ice Volume			
				Category 3	ory 3			
				Designated Evacuation Routes	cuation Routes			
	ł.						77 0	9
	Florida's Turnpike	SR 874	SR 826	SR 836	US-1	Krome Avenue	West of Krome	East of Krome
Percent of Evacuation Traffic Using	-							
Adjacent Evacuation Road Network	80%	10%	40%	40%	2%	15%	1%	2%
Evacuation Vehicles by Route	4081 Vabicles	510 vehicles	510 vehicles	510 vehicles	255 vehicles	765 vehicles	51 vehicles	255 Vehicles
Highest Hourly Contribution of Evacuation								
Traffic as a % of Total Evacuation Traffic								
Based on Medium Behavioral Response Curve	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%
Highest Hourly Contribution of Evacuation	1224	153	153	153	2.2	230	15	2.2
Traffic by Route from Project	vehicles	vehicles	vehicles	vehicles	vehicles	vehicles	vehicles	vehicles
Maximum Directional LOS E	8320	6150	8380	8380	2790	1860	068	1860
Service Volume by Route	ven per nour	ven per nour	nou ber nour	ven per nour	ven per nour	ven per nour	ven per nour	ven per nour
Maximum Hourly Evacuation Vehicles as a % of LOS E Hourly Directional Service Volume	14.7%	2.5%	1.8%	1.8%	2.7%	12.3%	1.7%	4.1%
		-					_	



Legend



Map 23-2
Figure 7 from the Transportation Element of the Adopted Miami-Dade County CDMP
Parkland
October 2006

