

The CCB: Working Together

## Our Government

The rift between government and the people who own that government is largely grounded in a failure of the public sector to be accountable. We hear little about the outcomes that warrant expenditure of our tax dollars. If Florida's new performance accountability law is successful, we will have more effective programs and increased citizen satisfaction.

Many believe that government has grown too much in size, influence and cost. Government has grown, yet we still lack effective solutions to our state's most pressing problems. As regulation and taxes increase, we are asking ourselves how much we want government to do and what we are willing to pay. Giving people more voice in their own governance empowers them to achieve better results at lower cost with the promise of solutions that work in their communities.

## **CRITICAL BENCHMARKS**

7.1	CITIZEN TRUST IN GOVERNMENT	(F)	7.7	USE OF OUTCOME MEASURES
7.2	PUBLIC SATISFACTION	E C	7.8	ACHIEVEMENT OF RESULTS

arning	arning our trust		TRE	NDS		GOALS (PROJECTIONS	
1 CITIZEN	N TRUST IN GOVERNMENT	1997	2000	2001	2002	2005	2010
7.1.1	<ul> <li>Public trust in government: By CCB and FSU surveys, percentage of adults who trusted government to do what was right just about always or most of the time</li> <li>a) Broward County residents</li> <li>1) State government</li> <li>2) County Government</li> <li>b) Florida residents</li> <li>1) Federal government</li> </ul>	37.7% Not Available 24.0%	43.8% 30.0%	Not Available Not Available 34.0%	47.7%  Not Available		
	State Government	38.0%	46.0%	40.0%	Not Available		
7.1.2	<b>Public rating of local government:</b> By CCB survey, percentage of adults who rated the job their county government was doing as good, very good or excellent						
	a) Broward County residents	49%	66%	Not Available	68%		
	b) Florida residents	Not Available	Not Available	40%	Not Available		
2 PUBLIC	SATISFACTION	1994	1997	2000	2002	2005	201
7.2.1	<b>Public satisfaction with government services:</b> By CCB survey, percentage of adults who rated the services where they lived as good, very good or excellent	Not Available	59%	85%	83%		

ying within our means		TRENDS				GOALS (PROJECTION	
GOVER	NMENT SPENDING	FL1997	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010
7.3.1	<b>Taxes:</b> Average amount of taxes paid per resident (in nominal dollars)						
	a) State	\$1,595	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available		
	b) Local	\$1,084	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available		
	c) Federal	\$4,829	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available		
	d) TOTAL	\$8,051	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available		
7.3.2	<b>Taxes relative to personal income:</b> Percentage of personal income spent on taxes						
	a) Local taxes	6.5%	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available		
	b) State taxes	4.4%	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available		
	c) Federal taxes	20%	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available		
	d) Total taxes	31%	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available		
7.3.3	<b>Ranking in local taxes:</b> Ranking among the 50 states or 67 counties in average local taxes per resident (1st=highest taxes per resident)	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available		

7.4 WASTI	WASTE IN GOVERNMENT		1994	1997	2000	2005	2010
7.4.1	<b>Public perception of government waste:</b> By CCB survey, percentage of adults who believed that most or all of every tax dollar they paid was wasted by						
	a) Federal government	44%	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available		
	b) State government	32%	Not Available	33%	25%		
	c) Broward County	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available		
	d) Municipalities	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available		

Sta	Staying within our means continued			TRENDS				ALS CTIONS)
7.5	GOVER	NMENT EMPLOYMENT	FL2001	1999	2000	2001	2005	2010
	7.5.1	Size of government relative to size of the population: Number of part-time and full-time government employees per 100 residents a) Local government b) All levels of government (federal, state, county, city,	4.0	4.2	4.3	4.6		
		schools, and special districts)	6.1	5.1	5.2	5.5		
	7.5.2	Rank in size of government: Ranking among the 50 states or 67 counties in the number of local government employees per 100 residents (1st=highest number of local government employees per 100 residents)	Not Available	19th	17th	13th		
7.6	FINANC	CIAL MANAGEMENT	FL1995	2000	2001	2002	2005	2010
	7.6.1	<b>National ranking:</b> Ranking among the 50 states or 67 counties in government efficiency/effectiveness, financial management, and infrastructure maintenance (1st=highest rated state/county government)	Retired - See Endnotes.					
	7.6.2	Debt  a) Bonded debt per resident (in nominal dollars)  b) Ranking among the 50 states or 67 counties in bonded debt per resident (1st=highest amount of bonded debt per resident)	\$394 Not Available	\$177 Not Available	\$236 Not Available	\$213 Not Available		
	7.6.3	Credit rating: Standard & Poor's bond rating	AA	AA	AA+	AA+		

Staying within our means continued			TRE	GOALS (PROJECTIONS)				
7.6	FINANC	CIAL MANAGEMENT CONTINUED	FL1997	1998	1999	2000	2005	2010
	7.6.4	<b>Rainy day funds:</b> Rainy day funds as a percentage of total revenue	3.7%	4.7%	Not Available	Not Available		

## Achieving results

7.7 USE OF	F OUTCOME MEASURES	FL1997	1999	2000	2001	2005	2010
7.7.1	<b>Outcomes in strategic plans:</b> Percentage of objectives in strategic plans that state how people will benefit from government services	F	Retired - Se	e Endnotes	S.		
7.7.2	<b>Budget tied to performance measures:</b> Percentage of budget for which program performance measures were used to support the budget request	Retired - See Endnotes.					

7.8 ACHIEV	/EMENT OF RESULTS	1998	1999	2000	2001	2005	2010
7.8.1	Achievement of results by local agencies: Percentage of Broward County Human Service Department program performance measures in local agency budgets that were						
	a) exceeded	63.6%	64.6%	76.9%	57.3%		
	b) 91-100% achieved	16.7%	27.0%	17.8%	34.3%		
	c) 81-90% achieved	2.3%	4.2%	0.0%	0.0%		
	d) 71-80% achieved	9.8%	1.1%	0.2%	0.0%		
	e) 70% or less achieved	7.6%	3.0%	3.8%	3.3%		

Inv	olvin	ng citizens		TRENDS			GOALS (PROJECTIONS)	
7.9	PRESID	ENTIAL ELECTIONS	1988	1992	1996	2000	2005	2010
	7.9.1	<ul> <li>Voter registration in presidential election years</li> <li>a) Percentage of adults registered to vote</li> <li>1) Broward County</li> <li>2) Florida</li> <li>b) Ranking among the 67 counties in the percentage of adults who were registered to vote (1st=highest percentage of adults registered to vote)</li> </ul>	Not Available Not Available Not Available	62.6% 62.1% Not Available	70.0% 70.9% 54th	71.1% 70.2% 40th		
	7.9.2	Registered voter turnout in presidential election years  a) Percentage of registered voters who voted  1) Broward County  2) Florida  b) Ranking among the 67 counties in the percentage of registered voters who voted (1st=highest voter turnout)	Not Available Not Available Not Available	02.070	64.9% 67.4% 39th	66.2% 70.1% 44th		
	7.9.3	Overall voter turnout in presidential election years: Percentage of adults age 18 and older who voted a) Broward County b) Florida	Not Available Not Available	51.7% 51.6%	45.4% 47.8%	47.1% 49.2%		

Involvin	nvolving citizens continued		TRE	GOALS (PROJECTIONS			
7.10 NON-PR	RESIDENTIAL ELECTIONS	1990	1994	1998	2002	2005	2010
7.10.1	Voter registration in non-presidential election years a) Percentage of adults registered to vote						
	1) Broward County	62.8%	60.3%	68.5%	75.4%		
	2) Florida	59.2%	59.8%	69.3%	71.6%		
	b) Ranking among the 67 counties in the percentage of adults who were registered to vote (1st=highest percentage of adults registered to vote)	Not Available	47th	41st	27th		
7.10.2	Registered voter turnout in non-presidential election years						
	a) Percentage of registered voters who voted						
	1) Broward County	53.9%	61.6%	45.6%	45.4%		
	2) Florida	60.1%	65.6%	49.5%	55.3%		
	<ul> <li>b) Ranking among the 67 counties in the percentage of registered voters who voted (1st=highest voter turnout)</li> </ul>	Not Available	Not Available	47th	64th		
7.10.3	Overall voter turnout in non-presidential election						
	years: Percentage of adults age 18 and older who voted						
	a) Broward County	33.9%	37.1%	31.2%	34.2%		
	b) Florida	35.6%	39.3%	34.3%	39.6%		

Involvin	Involving citizens continued		TRE	GOALS (PROJECTIONS			
<b>7.11</b> REPRE	REPRESENTATION		1998	2000	2002	2005	2010
7.11.1	<ul> <li>Racial representation: Percentage of elected officials who were non-white compared to the percentage of non-whites in the population</li> <li>a) Elected officials</li> <li>b) General population age 18 and older</li> </ul>	3.1% 12%	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available		
7.11.2	Gender representation: Percentage of elected officials who were women compared to the percentage of women in the population  a) Elected officials  b) General population age 18 and older	8.9% 53%	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available		