

## **EXHIBIT D**

### **BISCAYNE BAY PARTNERSHIP INITIATIVE ISSUES AND OBJECTIVES AS RELATED GOALS OF THE BISCAYNE BAY REGIONAL RESTORATION COORDINATION TEAM**

#### **GOAL: READILY: ACCESSIBLE & APPRECIATED**

##### Sub-group: Education & Awareness - Objectives

- Develop a comprehensive environmental education experience for students between grades 3 through 6 including camping sessions at Bay sites involving youth living and working together to enhance Bay environments through service projects. (p. 26; #3, 1) (3)
- Establish a permanent environmental education public awareness task force to oversee the comprehensive Bay education program. (p. 26; #3, 2) (4)
- Initiate a community effort to establish top quality science research high school through the acquisition of the Burger King property on Biscayne Bay. (p. 26; #3, 3) (3)
- Build two environmental education centers at Oleta River State Preserve and Bill Baggs Cape Florida State Park, with laboratories where natural science can be studied using scientific methodology. (p. 26; #3, 4) (3)
- Series of field study facilities, built on stilts, with laboratories on the upper level, accommodating computers, aquariums, microscopes, weather and solar energy instrumentation. Canoes, kayaks, bicycles, life jackets, science field equipment, an electric golf cart and a small safety boat with a motor will be stored in the bottom level. (p. 31; B2.2) (1,3)
- Use access to create an awareness of Biscayne Bay as our heritage and teach users on environment, history and culture.
- Determine target audiences and how they can best be identified. (p. 299) (3,4)
- Sufficient interaction in the regulatory process between educators and regulatory proponents. (p. 299) (3,4)
- Create centralized clearinghouse to collect available information and disseminate it efficiently to interested parties. (p. 299) (3, 4)
- Identify formal education programs that currently exist, and determination whether these programs are sufficient to represent all of the identified concerns. (p. 299) (3,4)
- Establish centralized web site to post current and proposed regulations, along with capabilities for user input and comment. (p. 306) (3,4)
- Establish requirements for all new proposed regulations to include an educational initiative plan to maximize information dissemination to produce better user-group understanding and compliance. (p. 306) (3)
- Regulators and educators should be encouraged to meet frequently throughout the entire regulatory process to insure the focus of initiatives remains on track and that educational principles are maintained. (p. 306) (3)

- Encourage the creation of a special alliance, or a professional association of educators, associated with bay educational efforts, with a centralized advisory panel to serve as the informational clearinghouse for all initiatives of this nature. (p. 306) (4)

Sub-group: Public Access – Issues

- Continuing trend toward gated communities. (p. 33; B.3)
- Water dependent shorelines converted to non-water dependent uses. (p. 33; B.3)
- Use of dedicated public parks and other publicly owned-property along the shoreline, for commercial purposes, limiting future opportunities for public access and recreation. (p. 33; B.3)
- Environmental restrictions decreasing certain types of access. (p. 33; B.3)
- Certain communities have little contact with the Bay. (p. 33; B.3)
- Unfriendly or dangerous condition of waterfront parks. (p. 33; B.3)
- Lack of planning for public development or museums and attractions and maintenance of Bayfront land inhibits integration of urban waterfront as an attraction. (p. 33; B.3)

Sub-group: Public Access – Objectives

- Develop a new Master Plan for Watson Island and Virginia Key. (p.29; B 1.3) (2,3)
- Preserve, protect and enhance all existing public access opportunities, sites and facilities along the Bay shoreline. (p. 34; B.4) (1,2,3)

Sub-group: Vessel Access – Issues

- Environmental restrictions decreasing certain types of access. (p. 33; B.3)
- Lack of adequate parking for trailers at boat launch sites. (p. 33; B.3)

**GOAL: SUPPORTS USES AND ECONOMIC ACTIVITY**

Sub-group: Fishing – Issues

No study of user group impacts on Bay (p.298) (2)

Sub-group: Fishing – Objectives

Increased diversity and abundance of fisheries (p.23) (1,2,3)

Minimize/mitigate negative impacts of existing economic activity (p.23) (4, 6-8)

Phase out economic activity with adverse impacts on Bay ecology (p.23) (4, 6-8)

Sub-group: Boating (uses) – Issues

Increasing number of registered vessels in Miami-Dade County (p.298) (1-7)

Derelict vessels – need funding and process to remove (p. 298) (2,3,5,7)

Unregulated boat anchorages (p.298) (2,3)

No study of user group impacts on Bay (p.298) (2,3)

Miami-Dade County #1 in fatal boating accidents (p.300) (1)

Manatee speed zone violations (p.300) (2,3)

Anchor/prop scars (p.300) (2,3)

Litter (p.300) (7)

Sub-group: Boating (uses) – Objectives

Increase boater education (p.298) (1,4)

Minimize/mitigate negative impacts of existing economic activity (p.23) (1-6)

Phase out economic activity with adverse impacts on Bay ecology (p.23) (1-6)

Sub-group: Sustainable Uses – Issues

No comprehensive list of resources for user groups (p.298) (6)

Sub-group: Sustainable Uses – Objectives

Encourage economic activity that is environmentally sensitive (p.23) (1-16)

Prohibit new economic activity that would damage the Bay (p.23) (3)

Transform intensively developed shoreline into water-dependent/related uses and greenways that enhance habitat and public access (p.24) (1,7,8,11,12,16)

Create linkages between population and shoreline sites (p.25) (1,7,8,11,12,16)

Promote links among shoreline activities (p.25) (1,7,8,11,12,16)

Maintain and improve Bay access, including preservation and management of open spaces (p.298) (1,7,8,11,13,16)

Sub-group: Marine Industries (Infrastructure) – Issues

No study of user group impacts on Bay (p.298) (2,4)

Sub-group: Marine Industries (Infrastructure) – Objectives

Minimize/mitigate negative impacts of existing economic activity (p.23) (3,5-7)

Phase out economic activity with adverse impacts on Bay ecology (p.23) (3,5-7)

Transform intensively developed shoreline into water-dependent/related uses and greenways that enhance habitat and public access (p.24) (1,4)

Sub-group: Overarching – Issues

Inadequate regulations for land uses in watershed (p.298) (4-7)

Staffing within regulatory and enforcement agencies insufficient (p.298) (1,2)

Budget constraints (p.298) (1,2)

No 24 hour enforcement on Bay (p.298) (1,2)

Increased use and population and decreased enforcement increases negative impacts (p.298) (1,2,5,6,7)

Not enough intergovernmental coordination (p.298)

Overlapping/fragmented jurisdictions (p.298)

Cars dumped in canals (p.298) (8,9)

Local/federal regulations with no time frames (p.299) (1)

Sub-group: Overarching – Objectives

Eliminate contamination that degrades natural conditions or affects human use (p.23) (1,2,4)

Minimize regulatory conflicts and produce mitigation greater than impacts (p.25) (9)

Increase enforcement personnel (p.299) (6)

Increase intergovernmental coordination (p.299)

Increase protection of “areas of extraordinary significance” (p.299)  
Increase local government compliance with shoreline development regulations  
(p.299) (9)  
Decrease reaction time to development permit applications (p.299)